A. Pavlović A. Njamcu

Idealism

"Idealized"

Problem

Previou

Continuit

Open and closed

Instead of Thank you for your attention

Continuity with or without ideal¹

Aleksandar Pavlović Anika Njamcul

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Faculty of Sciences, Novi Sad, Serbia

TOPOSYM 2022

¹ This talk is supported by the Science Fund of the Republic of Serbia, Grant No. 7750027:

Set-theoretic, model-theoretic and Ramsey- theoretic phenomena in mathematical structures:

similarity and diversity – SMART

Local function

Continuity

A. Pavlović A. Njamcu

Idealism

"Idealized' topology

Probler

Previous

Continuity

Open and closed mappings

Instead of Thank you for your attention $\langle X, au
angle$ - topological space

$$\mathrm{Cl}(A) = \{x \in X : A \cap U \neq \emptyset \text{ for each } U \in \tau(x)\}$$

 ${\mathcal I}$ - an ideal on X

 $\langle X, \tau, \mathcal{I} \rangle$ - ideal topological space [Kuratowski 1933]

$$A^*_{(\tau,\mathcal{I})} = \{x \in X : A \cap U \not\in \mathcal{I} \text{ for each } U \in \tau(x)\}$$

$$A_{(\tau,\mathcal{I})}^*$$
 (briefly A^*) - local function

Local function

Continuity

A. Pavlovio A. Njamcu

Idealism

"Idealized topology

Proble

Previous results

Continuity

Open and closed mappings

Instead of Thank you for your attention For $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ we have that $A^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau) = \mathrm{Cl}(A)$.

For $\mathcal{I} = P(X)$ we have that $A^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau) = \emptyset$.

For $\mathcal{I}=\mathit{Fin}$ we have that $A^*(\mathcal{I},\tau)$ is the set of ω -accumulation points of A.

For $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_{count}$ we have that $A^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau)$ is the set of condensation points of A.

Local function

Continuity

A. Pavlović A. Njamcul

ldealism

"Idealized topology

Proble

Previous

Continuity

Continuity

Open and closed mappings

Instead of Thank you for your attention

(1)
$$A \subseteq B \Rightarrow A^* \subseteq B^*$$
;

$$(2) A^* = \operatorname{Cl}(A^*) \subseteq \operatorname{Cl}(A);$$

(3)
$$(A^*)^* \subseteq A^*$$
;

(4)
$$(A \cup B)^* = A^* \cup B^*$$

(5) If
$$I \in \mathcal{I}$$
, then $(A \cup I)^* = A^* = (A \setminus I)^*$.

Topology au^*

Continuity

A. Pavlović A. Njamcu

ldealisr

"Idealized" topology

Problen

ь.

Continuit

Open and closed

Instead of Thank you for your attention

Definition

 $Cl^*(A) = A \cup A^*$ is a Kuratowski closure operator, and therefore it generates a topology on X

$$\tau^*(\mathcal{I}) = \{A : \mathrm{Cl}^*(X \setminus A) = X \setminus A\}.$$

Set A is closed in τ^* iff $A^* \subseteq A$.

$$\psi(A) = X \setminus (X \setminus A)^*$$

$$O \in \tau^* \Leftrightarrow O \subseteq \psi(O); \quad \psi(\tau) = \{\psi(U) : U \in \tau\}.$$

$$\psi(\tau) \subseteq \langle \psi(\tau) \rangle \subseteq \tau \subseteq \tau^* = \tau^{**}$$

$$\beta(\mathcal{I}, \tau) = \{ V \setminus I : V \in \tau, I \in \mathcal{I} \}$$
 is a basis for τ^*

Topology au^*

Continuity

A. Pavlović A. Njamcu

ldealisr

"Idealized" topology

Proble

Previou: results

Continuity

Open and closed mappings

Instead of Thank you for your attention For $\mathcal{I}=\{\emptyset\}$ we have that $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})=\tau$. For $\mathcal{I}=P(X)$ we have that $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})=P(X)$. If $\mathcal{I}\subseteq\mathcal{J}$ then $\tau^*(\mathcal{I})\subseteq\tau^*(\mathcal{J})$. If $\mathit{Fin}\subseteq\mathcal{I}$ then $\langle X,\tau^*\rangle$ is T_1 space. If $\mathcal{I}=\mathit{Fin}$, then $\tau^*_{ad}(\mathcal{I})$ is the cofinite topology on X. If $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}_{m0}$ - ideal of the sets of measure zero, then τ^* -Borel sets are precisely the Lebesgue measurable sets. (Scheinberg 1971) For $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}_{nwd}$ then $A^*=\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{Int}(\mathrm{Cl}(A)))$ and $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}_{nwd})=\tau^\alpha$. $(\alpha\text{-open sets},\ A\subseteq\mathrm{Int}(\mathit{Cl}(\mathit{Int}(A)))$. (Njástad 1965)

Compatibility

Continuity

A. Pavlović A. Njamcu

ldealist

"Idealized" topology

Proble

Previous results

Continuit

Open and closed mappings

Instead of Thank you for your attention

Definition (Njástad 1966)

Let $\langle X, \tau, \mathcal{I} \rangle$ be an ideal topological space. We say τ is compatible with the ideal \mathcal{I} , denoted $\tau \sim \mathcal{I}$ if the following holds for every $A \subseteq X$: if for every $x \in A$ there exists a $U \in \tau(x)$ such that $U \cap A \in \mathcal{I}$, then $A \in \mathcal{I}$.

Theorem

 $au \sim \mathcal{I}$ implies $eta = au^*$ (Njástad 1966)

 $au \sim \mathcal{I}$ iff $A \setminus A^* \in \mathcal{I}$, for each A. (Vaidyanathaswamy, 1960)

Theorem

 $\langle X, au
angle$ is hereditarily Lindelöf iff $au \sim \mathcal{I}_{count}$;

 $au \sim \mathcal{I}_{\textit{nwd}}, \quad au \sim \mathcal{I}_{\textit{mgr}}$

$$X = X^*$$

A. Pavlović A. Njamcu

Idealisn

"Idealized" topology

Problem

Previou

Continuit

Open and closed mappings

Instead of Thank you for your

Theorem (Samules 1975)

Let $\langle X, \tau, \mathcal{I} \rangle$ be an ideal topological space. Then $X = X^*$ iff $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$.

Theorem (Janković, Hamlett 1990)

Let $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ be a space with an ideal \mathcal{I} on X. If $X = X^*$ then $\tau_s = \tau^*{}_s$, where τ_s is the topology generated by the basis of regular open sets $(U = \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(U)))$ in τ .

Theorem

Semiregular properties (properties shared by $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ and $\langle X, \tau_s \rangle$, like Hausdorffness, property of a space being Urysohn $(T_{2\frac{1}{2}})$, connectedness, H-closedness, ...) are shared by $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ and $\langle X, \tau^* \rangle$ if $X = X^*$.

Problem

 ${\bf Continuity}$

A. Pavlović A. Njamcu

ldealisn

"Idealized' topology

Problem

results

Continuity

Open and closed mappings

Instead of Thank you for your attention

Question

lf

$$f:\langle X,\tau\rangle\to\langle Y,\sigma\rangle$$

is continuous (open, closed, homeomorphism), what are sufficient conditions for

$$f: \langle X, \tau^* \rangle \to \langle Y, \sigma^* \rangle$$

to remain continuous (open, closed, homeomorphism)?

Previous results

Continuity

A. Pavlovio A. Njamcu

ldealisn

"Idealized' topology

Proble

Previous results

Continuity

Open and

Instead of Thank you for your attention

Theorem (Samuels 1971)

If $X = X^*$ $(\mathcal{I} \cap \tau = \{\emptyset\})$ and Y is regular then $f : \langle X, \tau \rangle \to Y$ is continuous iff $f : \langle X, \tau^* \rangle \to Y$ is continuous.

Theorem (Natkaniec 1986)

Let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$, where X is a Polish space with topology τ , and \mathcal{I} a σ -complete ideal on X such that $Fin \subset \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I} \cap \tau = \{\emptyset\}$. If $f: \langle X, \tau^* \rangle \to \langle R, \mathcal{O}_{nat} \rangle$ is a continuous function, then $f: \langle X, \tau \rangle \to \langle R, \mathcal{O}_{nat} \rangle$ is also continuous.

Previous results

Continuity

A. Pavlović A. Njamcu

ldealisn

"Idealized topology

Proble

Previous results

Continuit

Open and closed

Instead of Thank you for your attention

Definition (Newcomb 1968, Rančin 1972)

 $\langle X, \tau, \mathcal{I} \rangle$ is \mathcal{I} -compact iff for each open cover $\{U_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ exists finite subcollection $\{U_{\lambda_k} : k \leq n\}$ such that $X \setminus \bigcup \{U_{\lambda_k} : k \leq n\} \in \mathcal{I}$.

Theorem (Hamlett, Janković 1990)

Let $f: \langle X, \tau, \mathcal{I} \rangle \to \langle Y, \sigma, f[\mathcal{I}] \rangle$ be a bijection such that $\langle X, \tau \rangle$ is \mathcal{I} -compact and $\langle Y, \sigma \rangle$ is Hausdorff. If $f: \langle X, \tau^* \rangle \to \langle Y, \sigma \rangle$ is continuous, then $f: \langle X, \tau^* \rangle \to \langle Y, \sigma^* \rangle$ is a homeomorphism.

Previous results

Continuity

A. Pavlović A. Njamcu

ldealisn

"Idealized topology

Proble

Previous results

Continuit

Open and closed mappings

Instead of Thank you for your attention

Theorems (Hamlett, Rose 1990)

Let $\langle X, \tau, \mathcal{I} \rangle$, $\langle Y, \sigma, \mathcal{J} \rangle$ be ideal topological spaces.

If $f: \langle X, \tau \rangle \to \langle Y, \langle \psi(\sigma) \rangle \rangle$ is a continuous injection, $\mathcal{J} \sim \sigma$ and $f^{-1}[\mathcal{J}] \subset \mathcal{I}$ then $\psi(f[A]) \subseteq f[\psi(A)]$, for each $A \subseteq X$.

If $f: \langle X, \langle \psi(\tau) \rangle \rangle \to \langle Y, \sigma \rangle$ is an open bijection, $\mathcal{I} \sim \tau$ and $f[\mathcal{I}] \subset \mathcal{J}$ then $f[\psi(A)] \subseteq \psi(f[A])$, for each $A \subseteq X$.

Let $f: X \to Y$ be a bijection and $f[\mathcal{I}] = \mathcal{J}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent

- a) $f: \langle X, \tau^* \rangle \to \langle Y, \sigma^* \rangle$ is a homeomorphism;
- b) $f[A^*] = (f[A])^*$, for each $A \subseteq X$;
- c) $f[\psi(A)] = \psi(f[A])$, for each $A \subseteq X$.

${\bf Continuity}$

A. Pavlović A. Njamcu

ldealisn

"Idealized topology

Proble

Previous

Continuity

Open and closed mappings

Instead of Thank you for your attention

Theorem

Let $\langle X, \tau_X, \mathcal{I}_X \rangle$ and $\langle Y, \tau_Y, \mathcal{I}_Y \rangle$ be ideal topological spaces. If $f: \langle X, \tau_X \rangle \to \langle Y, \tau_Y \rangle$ is a continuous function and for all $I \in \mathcal{I}_Y$ we have $f^{-1}[I] \in \mathcal{I}_X$. Then there hold the following equivalent conditions:

- a) $\forall A \subseteq X \ f[A^*] \subseteq (f[A])^*$;
- b) $\forall B \subseteq Y (f^{-1}[B])^* \subseteq f^{-1}[B^*].$

which implies the following three equivalent conditions:

- c) $\forall A \subseteq X \ f[\overline{A}^{\tau_X^*}] \subseteq \overline{f[A]}^{\tau_Y^*};$
- d) $\forall B \subseteq Y \ \overline{(f^{-1}[B])}^{\tau_X^*} \subseteq f^{-1}[\overline{B}^{\tau_Y^*}];$
- e) $f:\langle X, au_X^*
 angle o \langle Y, au_Y^*
 angle$ is a continuous function.

Continuity of $f:\langle X,\tau_X^*\rangle \to \langle Y,\tau_Y^*\rangle$ does not imply conditions a) and b)

f is a bijection

Continuity

A. Pavlović A. Njamcu

ldealisr

"Idealized topology

Proble

Previou

Continuity

Open and closed mappings

nstead of Thank you for your attention

Theorem

Let $\langle X, \tau_X, \mathcal{I}_X \rangle$ and $\langle Y, \tau_Y, \mathcal{I}_Y \rangle$ be ideal topological spaces. If $f: \langle X, \tau_X \rangle \to \langle Y, \tau_Y \rangle$ is a continuous bijection and for all $I \in \mathcal{I}_Y$ we have $f^{-1}[I] \in \mathcal{I}_X$, then there hold the following equivalent conditions:

- a) $\forall A \subseteq X \ \psi(f[A]) \subseteq f[\psi(A)];$
- b) $\forall B \subseteq Y \ f^{-1}[\psi(B)] \subseteq \psi(f^{-1}[B]).$

Example

If f is not a bijection mapping, then conditions a) and b) do not have to hold.

Open mappings

Continuity

A. Pavlović A. Njamcu

ldealisr

"Idealized topology

Proble

Previous

Continui

Open and closed mappings

nstead of Thank you or your attention

Theorem

Let $\langle X, \tau_X, \mathcal{I}_X \rangle$ and $\langle Y, \tau_Y, \mathcal{I}_Y \rangle$ be ideal topological spaces. If $f: \langle X, \tau_X \rangle \to \langle Y, \tau_Y \rangle$ is an open function and for all $I \in \mathcal{I}_X$ we have $f[I] \in \mathcal{I}_Y$, then there hold the *following* equivalent conditions:

- a) $\forall A \subseteq X \ f[\Psi(A)] \subseteq \Psi(f[A]);$
- b) $\forall B \subseteq Y \ \Psi(f^{-1}[B]) \subseteq f^{-1}[\Psi(B)].$ which implies
- c) $f: \langle X, \tau_X^* \rangle \to \langle Y, \tau_Y^* \rangle$ is an open function.

Example

c) is not equivalent with conditions a) and b).

Open bijections and closed injections

Continuity

A. Pavlović A. Njamcu

ldealisr

"Idealized topology

Proble

Previou: results

Continuit

Open and closed mappings

Instead of Thank you for your

Theorem

Let $\langle X, \tau_X, \mathcal{I}_X \rangle$ and $\langle Y, \tau_Y, \mathcal{I}_Y \rangle$ be ideal topological spaces. If $f: \langle X, \tau_X \rangle \to \langle Y, \tau_Y \rangle$ is an open bijection or closed injection and for all $I \in \mathcal{I}_X$ we have $f[I] \in \mathcal{I}_Y$, then there hold the following equivalent conditions:

- a) $\forall A \subseteq X (f[A])^* \subseteq f[A^*];$
- b) $\forall B \subseteq Y \ f^{-1}[B^*] \subseteq (f^{-1}[B])^*$.

Example

If f is open but not bijection, or closed but not injection then conditions a) and b) do not have to hold.

Homeomorphism

Continuity

A. Pavlović A. Njamcu

Idealisn

"Idealized topology

Proble

Previou results

Continuit

Open and closed mappings

Instead of Thank you for your attention Finally, gathering all previous, we extended the result obtained by Hamlett and Rose in 1990, which was already mentioned in "Previous results" part.

Corollary

Let $\langle X, \tau_X, \mathcal{I}_X \rangle$ and $\langle Y, \tau_Y, \mathcal{I}_Y \rangle$ be ideal topological spaces. If $f: \langle X, \tau_X \rangle \to \langle Y, \tau_Y \rangle$ is homeomorphism and for each $I \subset X$ there holds $I \in \mathcal{I}_X$ iff $f[I] \in \mathcal{I}_Y$. Then the following equivalent conditions hold:

- a) $f: \langle X, \tau_X^* \rangle \to \langle Y, \tau_Y^* \rangle$ is a homeomorphism;
- b) $\forall A \subseteq X (f[A])^* = f[A^*];$
- c) $\forall B \subseteq Y \ f^{-1}[B^*] = (f^{-1}[B])^*.$
- d) $\forall A \subseteq X \ \Psi(f[A]) = f[\Psi(A)];$
- e) $\forall B \subseteq Y \ f^{-1}[\Psi(B)] = \Psi(f^{-1}[B]).$

A. Pavlović A. Njamcu

ldealisn

"Idealized topology

results

Continuity

Open and closed mappings

Instead of Thank you for your attention



Hamlett, T. R., and Janković, D.

Compactness with respect to an ideal. Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (7) 4, 4 (1990), 849-861.



*-topological properties.

Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 13, 3 (1990), 507-512.



Janković, D., and Hamlett, T. R.

New topologies from old via ideals.

Amer. Math. Monthly 97, 4 (1990), 295-310.

Amer. Math. Monthly 97, 4 (1990), 295–310



Kaniewski, J., and Piotrowski, Z.

Concerning continuity apart from a meager set. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 98, 2 (1986), 324-328.



Natkaniec, T.

On I-continuity and I-semicontinuity points.

Mathematica Slovaca 36, 3 (1986), 297-312.



Newcomb, Jr, R. L.

Topologies which are compact modulo an ideal.
ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1968.
Thesis (Ph.D.)-University of California, Santa Barbara.

A. Pavlović A. Njamcu

ldealisn

"Idealized topology

Duebless

results

Continuit

Open and closed mappings

nstead of Thank you or your attention



Njästad, O.

Remarks on topologies defined by local properties. Avh. Norske Vid.-Akad. Oslo I (N.S.), 8 (1966), 16.



Njamcul, A., Pavlović, A.

On preserving continuity in ideal topological spaces. Georgian Mathematical Journal, to appear



Rančin, D. V.

Compactness modulo an ideal. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 202 (1972), 761-764.



Samuels, P.

A topology formed from a given topology and ideal.

J. London Math. Soc. (2) 10, 4 (1975), 409-416.



Scheinberg, S.

Topologies which generate a complete measure algebra.

Advances in Math. 7 (1971), 231-239 (1971).



Vaidyanathaswamy, R.

The localisation theory in set-topology. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Sect. A. 20 (1944), 51-61.



Vaidyanathaswamy, R.

Set Topology.

Chelsea Publishing Company, 1960.

We are proud to announce...

Continuity

A. Pavlović A. Njamcu

ldealisn

"Idealized' topology

Problem

Previou

Continuit

Open and closed

Instead of Thank you for your



NOVI SAD University of Novi Sad Faculty of Sciences

Department of Mathematics and Informatics

YSTW: August 17-20, 2022

SETTOP: August 22-25, 2022

www.dmi.uns.ac.rs/settop

Registration deadline: July 31st