# On the cardinality of a power homogeneous compactum

# Nathan Carlson

California Lutheran University

Prague Symposia on General Topology

July 28, 2022

We discuss the following theorem.

Main Theorem (C., 2021)

If X is a power homogeneous compactum then  $|X| \leq 2^{at(X)\pi\chi(X)}$ .

- A space X is *homogeneous* if for every  $x, y \in X$  there exists a homeomorphism  $h: X \to X$  such that h(x) = y.
- A space X is *power homogeneous* if there exists a cardinal κ such that X<sup>κ</sup> is homogeneous.
- A *compactum* is a compact, Hausdorff space.
- The Hilbert Cube [0, 1]<sup>ω</sup> is homogeneous (Keller, 1931), thus [0, 1] is a power homogeneous compactum that is not homogeneous.
- $(\omega + 1)^{\omega}$  is also homogeneous (van Douwen?), thus  $\omega + 1$  is another example of a power homogeneous compactum that is not homogeneous.
- at(X) satisfies  $wt(X) \le at(X) \le t(X)$

# Background

### Theorem (Arhangel'skiĭ, 1970)

If X is a sequential homogeneous compactum then  $|X| \leq c$ .

Arhangel'skiĭ asked if "sequential" can be replaced with "countably tight". R. de la Vega answered this in the affirmative.

# Theorem (de la Vega, 2006)

If X is a homogeneous compactum then  $|X| \leq 2^{t(X)}$ .

de la Vega's original proof involved the following critical theorem:

### Theorem (Arhangel'skiĭ, 1978)

If X is a compactum and  $t(X) \leq \kappa$  then there exists a non-empty closed set  $G \subseteq X$  and a set  $H \in [X]^{\leq \kappa}$  such that  $\chi(G, X) \leq \kappa$  and  $G \subseteq \overline{H}$ .



A short proof of de la Vega's Theorem due to C. and Ridderbos appeared in 2011, using a result of Pytkeev.

# Theorem (Arhangel'skiĭ, van Mill, Ridderbos, 2007)

If X is a power homogeneous compactum, then  $|X| \leq 2^{t(X)}$ .

Their proof involved the previous 1978 result of Arhangel'skiĭand the following technical result involving power homogeneity:

#### Theorem (AVR, 2007)

Let X be a power homogeneous Hausdorff space and suppose that  $\pi\chi(X) \leq \kappa$  for a cardinal  $\kappa$ . Suppose there exists a nonempty  $G_{\kappa}$ -set G and a set  $H \in [X]^{\leq \kappa}$  such that  $G \subseteq \overline{H}$ . Then there exists a cover  $\mathfrak{G}$  of X consisting of  $G^{\kappa}$ -sets such that for all  $G \in \mathfrak{G}$  there exists  $H_G \in [X]^{\leq \kappa}$  such that  $G \subseteq \overline{H_G}$ .

4 D K 4 B K 4 B K 4

# Weak tightness

# Definition (C., 2018)

Let X be a space. The *weak tightness wt*(X) of X is defined as the least infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  for which there is a cover  $\mathcal{C}$  of X such that  $|\mathcal{C}| \leq 2^{\kappa}$  and for all  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $t(C) \leq \kappa$  and  $X = c_{l_2 \kappa} C$ . We say that X is *weakly countably tight* if  $wt(X) = \omega$ .

### Definition

Given a cardinal  $\kappa$ , a space X, and  $A \subseteq X$ , the  $\kappa$ -closure of A is defined as  $cl_{\kappa}A = \bigcup_{B \in [A] \leq \kappa} \overline{B}$ .

It is clear that  $wt(X) \leq t(X)$ .

The weak tightness encodes the essential properties of tightness that prove sufficient to replace t(X) with wt(X) in certain cardinal inequalities.

## Theorem (C., 2018)

If X is Hausdorff then  $|X| \leq 2^{L(X)wt(X)\psi(X)}$ .

#### Definition (Juhász, van Mill, 2018)

Given a cover  $\mathcal{C}$  of X, a subset  $A \subseteq X$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -saturated if  $A \cap C$  is dense in A for every  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ .

### Proposition

Let X be a space,  $\kappa$  a cardinal such that  $wt(X) \leq \kappa$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  be a cover of X witnessing that  $wt(X) \leq \kappa$ . If  $\mathcal{B}$  is an increasing chain of  $\kappa^+$ -many  $\mathcal{C}$ -saturated subsets of X, then

$$\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{B}} = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} \overline{B}.$$

# Theorem (Bella, C., 2019)

If X is a homogeneous compactum then  $w(X) \leq 2^{wt(X)}$ .

Using this result and the fact that  $|X| \le d(X)^{\pi\chi(X)}$  for homogeneous Hausdorff spaces, we have:

# Theorem (Bella, C.)

If X is a homogeneous compactum then  $|X| \leq 2^{wt(X)\pi\chi(X)}$ .

This gives a general improvement of de la Vega's Theorem, as  $\pi\chi(X) \le t(X)$  for a compactum X and  $wt(X) \le t(X)$  for any space.

Question (Bella, C., 2019)

If X is a power homogeneous compactum, is  $|X| \leq 2^{wt(X)\pi\chi(X)}$ ?

# Main Theorem

#### Theorem

If X is a power homogeneous compactum then  $|X| \leq 2^{at(X)\pi\chi(X)}$ .

# Definition (C., 2021)

Let *X* be a space. The *almost tightness* at(X) of *X* is defined as the least infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  for which there is a cover  $\mathbb{C}$  of *X* such that  $|\mathbb{C}| \leq \kappa$  and for all  $C \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $t(C) \leq \kappa$  and  $X = cl_{\kappa}C$ . We say that *X* is *almost countably tight* if  $at(X) = \omega$ .

Compare with the definition of weak tightness we saw earlier:

#### Definition

Let X be a space. The *weak tightness wt*(X) of X is defined as the least infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  for which there is a cover  $\mathcal{C}$  of X such that  $|\mathcal{C}| \leq 2^{\kappa}$  and for all  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $t(C) \leq \kappa$  and  $X = cl_{2^{\kappa}}C$ . We say that X is *weakly countably tight* if  $wt(X) = \omega$ .

- It is clear that  $wt(X) \leq at(X) \leq t(X)$ .
- There are compact examples for which at(X) < t(X), due to Spadaro and Szeptycki.

A  $G_{\kappa}^{c}$ -set is a set G for which there exists a family of open sets  $\mathfrak{U}$  such that  $|\mathfrak{U}| \leq \kappa$  and  $G = \bigcap \mathfrak{U} = \bigcap_{U \in \mathfrak{U}} \overline{U}$ .

#### Theorem

Let X be a power homogeneous Hausdorff space and suppose that  $\pi\chi(X) \leq \kappa$  for a cardinal  $\kappa$ . Suppose there exists a nonempty  $G_{\kappa}^c$ -set G and a set  $H \in [X]^{\leq \kappa}$  such that  $G \subseteq \overline{H}$ . Then there exists a cover  $\mathfrak{G}$  of X consisting of  $G_{\kappa}^c$ -sets such that for all  $G \in \mathfrak{G}$  there exists  $H_G \in [X]^{\leq \kappa}$  such that  $G \subseteq \overline{H_G}$ .

If " $H \in [X]^{\leq \kappa}$ " and " $H_G \in [X]^{\leq \kappa}$ " in the above could be replaced with " $H \in [X]^{\leq 2^{\kappa}}$ " and " $H_G \in [X]^{\leq 2^{\kappa}}$ ", respectively, then it could be shown that if X is a power homogeneous compactum then  $|X| \leq 2^{wt(X)\pi\chi(X)}$ , answering the question of Bella and C.

A (10) A (10) A (10)

#### Proposition

Let X be a space,  $at(X) = \kappa$ , and let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a cover witnessing that  $at(X) = \kappa$ . Then for all  $x \in X$  there exists  $T(x) \in [X]^{\leq \kappa}$  such that  $x \in T(x)$  and T(x) is  $\mathcal{C}$ -saturated.

Whenever  $at(X) = \kappa$  and  $x \in X$ , we fix T(x) as obtained in the above Proposition. If  $A \subseteq X$ , then we set  $T(A) = \bigcup_{x \in A} T(x)$ .



We introduce the notion of a *T*-free sequence for use with the invariant at(X).

Definition (C., 2021)

Let  $at(X) = \kappa$ . A set  $\{x_{\alpha} : \alpha < \lambda\}$  is an *T*-free sequence if  $\overline{T(\{x_{\beta} : \beta < \alpha\})} \cap \overline{\{x_{\beta} : \alpha \leq \beta < \lambda\}} = \emptyset$  for all  $\alpha < \lambda$ .

#### Proposition

Let X be a space such that  $at(X) = \kappa$ . A compact subset  $K \subseteq X$  contains no T-free sequence of length  $\kappa^+$ .

## Theorem (C., 2021)

Let X be a Hausdorff space,  $\kappa = at(X)$ , and K a nonempty compact subset of X. Then there exists a nonempty closed set  $G \subseteq K$  and a set  $H \subseteq X$  such that  $|H| \le \kappa$ ,  $G \subseteq \overline{H}$ , and  $\chi(G, K) \le \kappa$ . In addition, H is  $\mathbb{C}$ -saturated in any cover  $\mathbb{C}$  witnessing that  $at(X) = \kappa$ .

This is an improvement over Arhangel'skii's 1978 result.

#### Theorem (Arhangel'skiĭ, 1978)

If X is a compactum and  $t(X) \leq \kappa$  then there exists a non-empty closed set  $G \subseteq X$  and a set  $H \in [X]^{\leq \kappa}$  such that  $\chi(G, X) \leq \kappa$  and  $G \subseteq \overline{H}$ .



Two more components of the proof of the Main Theorem are needed.

Given a space X,  $X_{\kappa}^{c}$  represents the  $G_{\kappa}^{c}$ -modification of X, the space formed on X where the  $G_{\kappa}^{c}$ -sets form a basis.

Theorem (C., 2018)

For any space X and cardinal  $\kappa$ ,  $L(X_{\kappa}^{c}) \leq 2^{L(X)wt(X) \cdot \kappa}$ .

# Theorem (Ridderbos, 2006)

If X is a power homogeneous Hausdorff space then  $|X| \leq d(X)^{\pi\chi(X)}$ .

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト



After putting these components together, we can prove:

#### Theorem

If X is a power homogeneous compactum then  $|X| \leq 2^{at(X)\pi\chi(X)}$ .

Corollary (Juhász, van Mill, 2018 (homogeneous case), C., 2018)

Let X be a power homogeneous compactum and suppose there exists a countable cover of X consisting of dense, countably tight subspaces. Then  $|X| \leq c$ .

The main theorem has a generalization to the Hausdorff setting.

#### Theorem

Let X be a power homogeneous Hausdorff space. Then  $|X| \leq 2^{L(X)at(X)\pi\chi(X)pct(X)}$ .

The following was introduced by Tkachenko in 1983:

# Definition

The *o*-tightness of a space X does not exceed  $\kappa$ , or  $ot(X) \leq \kappa$ , if for every family  $\mathfrak{U}$  of open sets of X and for every point  $x \in X$  with  $x \in \overline{\bigcup \mathfrak{U}}$  there exists a subfamily  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $|\mathcal{V}| \leq \kappa$  and  $x \in \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}}$ .

- It is clear that  $ot(X) \leq t(X)$ .
- It can also be shown that  $ot(X) \leq c(X)$ .
- What surprised me was this:  $ot(X) \le wt(X)$ .
- Thus,  $ot(X) \leq wt(X) \leq at(X) \leq t(X)$ .

A (10) A (10) A (10)



In light of previous results, we ask:

# Question If X is a homogeneous compactum, is $|X| \le 2^{ot(X)\pi_X(X)}$ ?

If the answer to the above is 'yes', it would simultaneously

- improve the result that  $|X| \le 2^{wt(X)\pi\chi(X)}$  for a homogeneous compactum *X*, as  $ot(X) \le wt(X)$ , and
- **2** generalize, in the compact case, the result that  $|X| \le 2^{c(X)\pi\chi(X)}$  for any Hausdorff, homogeneous space (C., Ridderbos, 2008), as  $ot(X) \le c(X)$ .

# Question (de la Vega)

If X is a homogeneous compactum, is  $|X| \leq 2^{\pi \chi(X)}$ ?

# Question (Bella, C.)

If X is a power homogeneous compactum, is  $|X| \leq 2^{wt(X)\pi\chi(X)}$ ?

# Question (Spadaro and Szeptycki)

Is there a (power) homogeneous compactum X such that at(X) < t(X)?

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > -



N. Carlson, *Power homogeneous compacta and variations on tightness*, to appear in Topology Appl.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

3

Thank you!

Any questions?

Any answers?

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Э.